

MEMORANDUM

Meeting Date: January 14, 2020

Item No. B-2

To: Capital Improvements Advisory Committee

From: Alonzo Liñán, Director of Public Works

Subject: STATUS REPORT FOR IMPACT FEE PROGRAMS

October 1, 2018 thru March 31, 2019

The current impact fees amounts are based on an impact fee study by Freese and Nichols, Inc. dated March 2015 (and adopted by Council June 2, 2015). The study identifies a list of capital projects for which impact fees can be used between 2014 and 2024. The study assumed an annual growth rate of 2%. The study's capital project list is not the annually adopted capital project improvement budget, nor does it set project scope or project budget which is adopted by Council. The study identifies the maximum impact fee amount that can be collected per project. The actual amount impact fee collected in each category is shown in the table below.

As it relates to the use of impact fees, the city transfers impact fee funds quarterly into capital projects which is reflected in the following tables. In doing so, a funding commitment of impact fees to a project is equivalent to an approved expenditure, regardless of the year-to-date spending on the project. The fiscal year expenditures impacted by the policy are indicated with an asterisk (*). The expected expenditures of impact fees reflect the transfer amounts, rather than year-to-date expenditures of the project which is addressed in separate tables in the report. As it relates to capital budgets in the summary schedule of adopted project revenues and expenditures tables below, capital projects use project life budgeting; meaning funds are available until the project is closed out. Both the transfer of impact fees and project life budgeting are based upon financial policies and budget amendments adopted by Council during FY 2015-16. The financial policies have been re-adopted for FY 2017-18.

Table 1-1 - Assessment Rate by Service Unit (S.U.s) As Adopted by Council on June 2, 2015								
Impact Fee		Assessment Rates/S.U.	Collected Rates/ S.U.s	Collection Rate divided by Assessment				
Water	\$	2,918.00	\$ 979.10	Rate 33.6%				
Wastewater	\$	1,835.00	\$ 918.00	50.0%				
Roadway:			Res / Non-Res / Ret	Res / Non-Res / Ret				
North	34.2% / 20.3% / 8.5%							
South	\$	1,720.00	\$860.00/626.18/263.09	50% / 36.4% / 15.3%				

Table 1-2 - Service Units (S.U.s) Collected and Collection for June 2, 2015 through March 31, 2019									
Impact Fee Projected S.U.'s/ 46 Collected SUs % S.U.s Collected Amount Collected Months									
Water	1,785	976.2	54.7%	\$	951,557				
Wastewater	2,231	867.8	38.9%	\$	796,087				
Roadway:									
North 2,573 1,413.8 54.9% \$ 950,072									
South	3,088	3,041.2	98.5%	\$	1,486,401				

WATER SYSTEM IMPACT FEE AND CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY

The following table provides water impact fee collection for the two six-month periods of each fiscal year along with a total of twelve month activity for the current and prior five fiscal years. In addition, the six year total and average is provided.

	Table 2-1 - Water Impact Fee Collections by Fiscal Year 6 Month & 12 Month Activity									
Fiscal Year	Impact Fees Collected Oct. thru Mar.	Impact Fees Collected Apr. thru Sept.	Impact Fees Collected Oct. thru Sept.	Fund Balance						
FY 2013-14	313,090	173,842	486,932	4,341,719						
FY 2014-15	145,926	101,198	247,124	4,160,740						
FY 2015-16	132,338	154,502	286,840	2,540,445						
FY 2016-17	188,281	101,533	289,814	(269,226)						
FY 2017-18	61,781	114,917	176,699	32,950						
FY 2018-19	111,226		111,226	144,176						
6-Year Total	\$ 952,642	\$ 645,992	\$ 1,598,634							
6-Year Average	\$ 158,774	\$ 129,198	\$ 266,439							

The following table provides water impact fee expenditures for the two six-month periods of each fiscal year along a total of twelve month activity for the current and prior five fiscal years. In addition, the six year total and average is provided.

Tab	Table 2-2 - Water Impact Fee Expensed by Fiscal Year 6 Month & 12 Month Activity							
Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year Impact Fees Expensed Oct. thru Apr. thru							
FY 2013-14	108,339	144,712	253,051					
FY 2014-15	23,997	404,105	428,103					
FY 2015-16*	164,116	1,743,019	1,907,135					
FY 2016-17*	1,549,743	1,549,743	3,099,485					
FY 2017-18*	50,000	50,000	100,000					
FY 2018-19*		-	-					
6-Year Total	\$ 1,896,195	3,891,579	\$ 5,787,774					
6-Year Average	\$ 316,032	\$ 648,597	\$ 964,629					

The following table outlines the existing water distribution system projects that supply capacity needed for the 10-year planning period (2014-2024) as derived by the most recent impact fee study. It also outlines the proposed capital improvement projects to be needed within the next ten (10) years with the estimates percent utilization for that planning period.

Table 2-3 - Water Distribution System Improvements 2014-2024 **Cost Allocation for Impact Fee Calculations**

		P	ercent Utiliza	ation	Costs Based on 2014 Dollars				
						Current	10-Year		
No.	Description of Project	2014	2024*	2014-2024	Capital Cost	Development	(2014-2024)		
EXISTING									
Α	3.0 MG Pearson Ground Storage Tank	15%	65%	50%	\$1,779,010	\$266,852	\$889,505		
В	Pearson Pump Station Improvements	75%	90%	15%	\$1,197,400	\$898,050	\$179,610		
C	Knox Elevated Storage Tank	60%	85%	25%	\$2,059,216	\$1,235,530	\$514,804		
D	Keller-Smithfield Elevated Storage Tank	75%	90%	15%	\$2,074,509	\$1,555,882	\$311,176		
E	16-inch Lower Pressure Plane Water Line	75%	90%	15%	\$3,084,977	\$2,313,733	\$462,747		
F	12-inch Lower Pressure Plane Water Line	55%	85%	30%	\$2,757,117	\$1,516,414	\$827,135		
G	12-inch Upper Pressure Plane Water Line	10%	70%	60%	\$232,000	\$23,200	\$139,200		
Н	12-inch Rufe Snow Water Line	50%	85%	35%	\$204,000	\$102,000	\$71,400		
1	12-inch Upper Pressure Plane Water Line	25%	55%	30%	\$200,000	\$50,000	\$60,000		
J	Water Impact Fee Study	0%	100%	100%	\$42,000	\$0	\$42,000		
Existi	ing Project Sub-total	`			\$13,630,229	\$7,961,660	\$3,497,577		
		PROPOS	SED						
1	12-inch Water Lines in Upper Pressure Plane	25%	60%	35%	\$320,600	\$80,150	\$112,210		
2a	Alta Vista Pump Station Expansion to 18 MGD	15%	40%	25%	\$5,521,200	\$828,180	\$1,380,300		
2b	Fort Worth Water Delivery Capital Cost Recovery	0%	40%	40%	\$1,216,440	\$0	\$486,576		
3	30-inch Alta Vista Pump Station Water Line	0%	40%	40%	\$5,472,000	\$0	\$2,188,800		
4	12-inch Water Lines in Upper Pressure Plane	0%	60%	60%	\$884,600	\$0	\$530,760		
5	12-inch Johnson Road Water Line	20%	70%	50%	\$743,900	\$148,780	\$371,950		
6	16-inch Mt. Gilead and Bancroft Road and 12-inch Keller-Smithfield Road Water Line	55%	95%	40%	\$1,933,200	\$1,063,260	\$773,280		
7	16-inch Florence Road Water Line	10%	65%	55%	\$1,229,600	\$122,960	\$676,280		
_	8-inch Lower Pressure Plane Water Line	0%	5%	5%	\$219,000	\$0	\$10,950		
8	8-Inch Lower Pressure Plane Water Line				4	\$0	\$181,140		
9	Pearson Pump Station Upper Pressure Plane Expansion	0%	20%	20%	\$905,700	\$0	7101,140		
		0% 15%	20% 50%	20% 35%	\$905,700	\$165,135	\$385,315		
9	Pearson Pump Station Upper Pressure Plane Expansion								
9	Pearson Pump Station Upper Pressure Plane Expansion 12-inch Florence Road Water Line	15%	50%	35%	\$1,100,900	\$165,135	\$385,315		
9 10 11 12	Pearson Pump Station Upper Pressure Plane Expansion 12-inch Florence Road Water Line 12-inch Bear Creak Parkway Water Line	15% 10%	50% 55%	35% 45%	\$1,100,900 \$707,000	\$165,135 \$70,700	\$385,315 \$318,150		

Projects A through J are existing Water projects. The \$3,497,577 of the total \$13,630,229 cost for these projects is attributed to growth in the 10-year planning period or about 25.7%.

Proposed Water projects 1 through 12 and the existing Water projects together cost an estimated total of \$34,516,429. A total of \$11,008,103 is attributed to growth in the 10-year planning period. Therefore, about 31.9% of the total cost of these improvements is for the capacity to serve expected development during the planning period.

Prior, current, and proposed use of impact fees for active and recently closed capital projects are listed in the table below. The numbers in parenthesizes next to the project name provides a reference to the capital project listing in the study.

Table 2-4	– Use of W	/ater Impact Fe	ees By Proje	ct and Fiscal Ye	
Project/Fiscal Year	Use o	f Impact Fees	Total	Budget	% Budget = Impac fees
	Pro	ject Closed Du	ring FY 2018	3	1005
N/A		•			
·					
	(Currently Active	e Projects		
Alt	a Vista Pum	p Station Impr	ovements (2	2a&2b) [UC]	
FY 16		778,505		778,505	100.0%
FY 17		-		1,630,000	0.0%
Total	\$	778,505	\$	2,408,505	32.3%
	Alta Vi	sta Transmissio	on Main (3) [· ·	
FY 16		1,042,715		1,042,715	100.0%
FY 17		2,949,485		4,579,485	64.4%
Total	\$	3,992,200	\$	5,622,200	71.0%
				(4) [==]	
	Inch Water	Lines In Upper	Pressure Pl		
FY 17		200,000		200,000	100.0%
FY 18		-		150,000	0.0%
Total	\$	200,000	\$	350,000	57.1%
	I I i ada	277 42" \\/-+		[NIC]	
FV 40	Highwa	ay 377 12" Wat	er Lines (4)		100.00/
FY 18		100,000		100,000	100.0%
FY 19		100 000	*	800,000	0.0%
Total	\$	100,000	\$	900,000	11.1%
		On-Hold Pr	niacts		
N/A		OH-HOIU PI	Ojecto		
IN/A					
		Upcoming Pr	niects*		
		o peoning i i	Ojeets .		
Total All Water Projects	\$	5,070,705	Ś	9,280,705	54.6%

^{* -} Upcoming Projects have been placed on the City's five-year Capital Improvements Plan. The projects have not been approved and no funds have been appropriated by City Council.

The table below provides a summary of City Council adopted capital projects which use impact fee funds. Included is a brief discussion of the variance between the Council adopted project budget and the capital project listing on the study. The numbers in parenthesizes next to the project name provides a reference to the capital project listing on the study.

Table 2-5 - Summary Schedule of Adopted Water Capital Project Revenues and Expenditures

Line-Item Description	n Project Budg		dget Activity thru March 31, 2019			\$ Remaining	% Transferred / Expenses
	Alta	a Vista Pump S	tation	Improvements (2a	& 2b)	[UC]	
Impact Fees		778,505		778,505		-	100.0%
Debt Issuance		6,491,495		1,630,000		4,861,495	25.1%
Total Revenues	\$	7,270,000	\$	2,408,505	\$	4,861,495	33.1%
% of Project = Impact Fees		10.7%		32.3%			
Engineering/Design		778,505		908,613		(130,108)	116.7%
Construction		-		-		-	0.0%
Building Improvements		6,491,495		1,433,714		5,057,781	22.1%
Right of Way		-		-		-,- ,	0.0%
Legal		-		-		-	0.0%
Total Expenditures	<u> </u>	7,270,000	\$	2,342,327		4,927,673	32.2%

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocatic \$

6,737,640

Reason for Variance:

Council has only adopted project costs of \$2,408,505 to date related to initial phases of the project.

Alta Vista Transmission Main Improvements (3) [DR]								
Impact Fees		3,992,200		3,992,200		-	100.0%	
Debt Issuance		3,737,800		1,630,000		2,107,800	43.6%	
Total Revenues	\$	7,730,000	\$	5,622,200	\$	2,107,800	72.7%	
% of Project = Impact Fees		51.6%		71.0%				
Engineering/Design		1,042,715		928,809		113,906	89.1%	
Construction		6,537,285		1,452,630		5,084,655	22.2%	
Right of Way		150,000		128,766		21,234	85.8%	
Legal		-		-		, -	0.0%	
Total Expenditures	Ş	7,730,000	\$	2,510,205		5,219,795	32.5%	

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocatic \$

5,472,000

Reason for Variance:

The difference is the amount of budget/funding that has been transferred to date versus the amount of cost assumed to develop the Impact Fee rates.

Engineering/ROW - DR

	12-Inch Water Lines in Upper Pressure Plane (4) [DR]							
Impact Fees Water-Wastewater Fund		200,000 150,000		200,000 150.000		-	100.0% 100.0%	
Total Revenues	\$	350,000	\$	350,000	\$	-	100.0%	
% of Project = Impact Fees		57.1%		57.1%				
Engineering/Design		50,000		36,067		13,933	72.1%	
Special/Other Services Construction		300,000		20,020 96		(20,020) 299,904	0.0% 0.0%	
Right of Way Legal		-		3,250		(3,250)	0.0% 0.0%	
Total Expenditures	\$	350,000	\$	59,434		290,566	17.0%	

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocatic \$

884,600

Reason for Variance:

Council has only adopted project costs of \$350,000 to date related to initial phases of the project.

Highway 377 12" Water Lines (4) [NS]								
Impact Fees		100,000		100,000		-	100.0%	
Debt Issuance		900,000		-		900,000	0.0%	
Total Revenues	\$	1,000,000	\$	100,000	\$	900,000	10.0%	
% of Project = Impact Fees		10.0%		100.0%				
Engineering/Design		-		9,569		(9,569)	0.0%	
Construction		1,000,000		-		1,000,000	0.0%	
Right of Way		-		-		-	0.0%	
Legal		-		-		-	0.0%	
Total Expenditures	\$	1,000,000	Ş	9,569		990,431	1.0%	

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocatic \$

884,600

Reason for Variance:

Council has only adopted project costs of \$100,000 to date related to initial phases of the project.

Water Impact Fee Project Usage Summary								
Total Impact Fees	\$	5,070,705	\$	5,070,705	-	100.0%		
Total Other Funding Sources	\$	11,279,295	\$	3,410,000	7,869,295	30.2%		
Total Revenues	\$	16,350,000	\$	8,480,705 \$	7,869,295	51.9%		
% of Project = Impact Fees		31.0%		59.8%				

WASTEWATER SYSTEM IMPACT FEE AND CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY

The following table provides Wastewater impact fee collection for the two six-month periods of each fiscal year along with a total of twelve month activity for the current and prior five fiscal years. In addition, the six year total and average is provided.

	Table 3-1 - Wastewater Impact Fee Collections by Fiscal Year 6 Month & 12 Month Activity									
Fiscal Year	Impact Fees Collected Oct. thru Mar.	Impact Fees Collected	Impact Fees Collected Oct. thru Sept.	Fund Balance						
FY 2013-14	332,155	166,761	498,916	3,508,598						
FY 2014-15	143,098	91,329	234,427	3,207,975						
FY 2015-16	116,556	115,484	232,040	4,481,776						
FY 2016-17	129,897	85,885	215,782	2,970,713						
FY 2017-18	81,519	104,652	186,171	3,214,589						
FY 2018-19	92,167		92,167	3,306,756						
6-Year Total	\$ 895,392	\$ 564,111	\$ 1,459,503							
6-Year Average	\$ 149,232	\$ 112,822	\$ 243,250							

The following table provides Wastewater impact fee expenditures for the two six-month periods of each fiscal year along a total of twelve month activity for the current and prior five fiscal years. In addition, the six year total and average is provided.

Table 3-2	2 - Wastewater Impact	Fee Expensed by Fiscal Yea	ır							
6 Month & 12 Month Activity										
Fiscal Year	Impact Fees Expensed Oct. thru		Impact Fees Expensed Oct. thru							
riscai reai	Mar.	Apr. thru Sept.	Sept.							
FY 2013-14	389,129	145,922	535,050							
FY 2014-15	84,435	(1,126,197)	(1,041,761)							
FY 2015-16*	9,200	1,717,645	1,726,845							
FY 2016-17*	337,500	1,017,565	1,355,065							
FY 2017-18*	-	-	-							
FY 2018-19*	-	-	-							
6-Year Total	\$ 820,264	\$ 1,754,935	\$ 2,575,200							
6-Year Average	\$ 136,711	\$ 292,489	\$ 429,200							

The following table outlines the existing wastewater collection system projects that supply the capacity needed for the 10-year planning period (2014-2024) as derived by the most recent impact fee study. It also outlines the proposed capital improvement projects to be needed within the next ten (10) years with the estimated percent utilization for that planning period.

Table 3-3 - Wastewater Collection System Improvements 2014-2024

Cost Allocation for Impact Fee Calculations

No.					Costs Based on 2014 Dollars			
						Current		
Δ	Description of Project	2014	2024*	2014-2024	Capital Cost	Development	10-Year (2014-2024)	
Δ		_	EXIST	ING				
~	Marshall Branch West Lift Station and Interceptor	35%	80%	45%	\$1,855,759	\$649,516	\$835,092	
В	Marshall Branch East Lift Station and Interceptor	40%	85%	45%	\$1,611,295	\$644,518	\$725,083	
C	Big Bear East Branch Interceptor	5%	55%	50%	\$1,582,758	\$79,138	\$791,379	
	Katy Road Lift Station and Sanitary Sewer	30%	80%	50%	\$1,959,449	\$587,835	\$979,725	
D	Improvements	30%	80%	50%	\$1,959,449	\$587,835	\$979,725	
E	Wastewater Impact Fee Study	0%	100%	100%	\$42,000	\$0	\$42,000	
kistir	g Project Sub-total			j	\$7,051,261	\$1,961,006	\$3,373,278	
			PROPO	OSED				
	8-inch and 12-inch Big Bear East Wastewater	00/	CEO/	CEO/	4702.500	40	4457.240	
1	Interceptor	0%	65%	65%	\$703,600	\$0	\$457,340	
2	North Big Bear East Septic Elimination Lines	0%	30%	30%	\$636,800	\$0	\$191,040	
3	Southwest Marshall Branch Septic Elimination Lines	0%	40%	40%	\$1,979,200	\$0	\$791,680	
4	West Big Bear East Septic Elimination Lines	0%	30%	30%	\$1,204,000	\$0	\$361,200	
5	Big Bear East Wastewater Improvements	0%	40%	40%	\$1,280,700	\$0	\$512,280	
6	12-inch to 18-inch Big Bear Wastewater Interceptor	0%	50%	50%	\$1,377,300	\$0	\$688,650	
7	North Branch of Big Bear Wastewater Interceptor	0%	25%	25%	\$744,800	\$0	\$186,200	
8	Big Bear West Collector Replacement	30%	45%	15%	\$427,400	\$128,220	\$64,110	
9	8-inch and 10-inch Wastewater Lines in Western Big Bear Southwest	30%	60%	30%	\$388,400	\$116,520	\$116,520	
10	Cade Branch Interceptor	0%	55%	55%	\$288,000	\$0	\$158,400	
11	Big Bear East Assorted Septic Eliminations	0%	35%	35%	\$1,506,200	\$0	\$527,170	
12	Big Bear West Interceptor Replacement	85%	90%	5%	\$465,800	\$395,930	\$23,290	
	Big Bear Southwest Interceptor Replacement	85%	95%	10%	\$441,400	\$375,190	\$44,140	
	Big Bear South 1 Interceptor Replacement	85%	90%	5%	\$305,000	\$259,250	\$15,250	
15	Little Bear East Interceptor Replacement	90%	95%	5%	\$360,600	\$324,540	\$18,030	
	Big Bear South 2 Interceptor Replacement	90%	100%	10%	\$233,300	\$209,970	\$23,330	
17	8-inch Northern Marshall Branch East Wastewater Line	0%	20%	20%	\$385,600	\$0	\$77,120	
18	Big Bear East Central Septic Elimination Lines	0%	45%	45%	\$611,200	\$0	\$275,040	
	Northern Big Bear East Septic Elimination Lines	0%	40%	40%	\$1,200,600	\$0	\$480,240	
20	Melody Hills Estates Septic Elimination Lines	0%	45%	45%	\$945,900	\$0	\$425,655	
	sed Project Sub-total				\$15,485,800	\$1,809,620	\$5,436,685	
_	Capital Improvements Cost				\$22,537,061	\$3,770,626	\$8,809,963	

^{*} Utilization in 2014 on Proposed Projects indicates a portion of the project that will be used to address deficiencies within the existing system, and therefore are not eligible for impact fee cost recovery for future growth.

Wastewater projects A through E are existing. The \$3,373,279 of the total \$7,051,261 cost for these projects is attributed to the 10-year planning period or about 47.8%.

Proposed Wastewater projects 1 through 20 and the existing wastewater projects together cost an estimated total of \$22,537,061. A total of \$8,809,964 is attributed to the 10-year planning period. Therefore, about 39.1% of the total cost of these improvements is for the capacity to serve expected development during the planning period.

Prior, current, and proposed use of impact fees for active and recently closed capital projects are listed in the table below. The numbers in parenthesizes next to the project name provides a reference to the capital project listing on the study.

Table 3-4 – Use of Wastewater Impact Fees By Project and Fiscal Year										
Project/Fiscal Year	Use o	f Impact Fees		Total Budget	% Budget = Impac fees					
	Pro	ject Closed Du	ring F	Y 2018						
Old Town Keller Construction* [CC]		185,000		204,775	90.3%					
			_							
	(Currently Active	e Proj	ects						
Big B	ear East Co	llectors (1,2,4)	[CC]	(Manor Way area)						
FY 16		731,675		3,027,000	24.2%					
Total	\$	731,675	\$	3,027,000	24.2%					
Marsh	all Branch E	Collectors (3)	CC1	(Summer Lane area)						
FY 15		78,780		2,550,780	3.1%					
FY 16		745,220		745,220	100.0%					
Total	\$	824,000	\$	3,296,000	25.0%					
Riσ Re	ar Central I	nterceptor Ph	II (6)	[CC] (Gean Estates)						
FY 16	ar centrar i	49,750	(0)	259,805	19.1%					
Total	\$	49,750	\$	259,805	19.1%					
	EN 4 1 700 C-	mitam Carran D		nament (C) [DD]						
FY 15	FIVI 1709 Sa	initary Sewer R	еріас	ement (6) [DR]	0.00/					
FY 17		600,000		50,000 600,000	0.0%					
Total	\$	600,000	Ś	650,000	100.0% 92.3%					
	· ·	,	•							
		On-Hold Pro	-							
	Big Bear Ea	st Collector Lin	e Ext	ension (5) [NS]						
FY 17		75,000		75,000	100.0%					
Total	\$	75,000	\$	75,000	100.0%					
		Upcoming Pr	oject	s^						
N/A		. 3	•							
Total All Projects	\$	2,465,425	Ś	7,512,580	32.8%					

^{* -} Big Bear West Collector Replacement Project (8) is part of the Old Town Keller West project which has a budget of \$4,250,000.

^{^ -} Upcoming Projects have been placed on the City's five-year Capital Improvements Plan. The projects have not been approved and no funds have been appropriated by City Council.

The table below provides a summary of City Council adopted capital projects which use impact fee funds. Included is a brief discussion of the variance between the Council adopted project budget and the capital project listing on the study. The numbers in parenthesis next to the project name provides a reference to the capital project listing on the study.

Table 3-5 - Summary Schedule of Adopted Wastewater Capital Project Revenues and Expenditures

Line-Item Description	Pro	oject Budget	Activity thru March 31, 2019		\$ Remaining	% Transferred / Expenses	
		Big Bear	East C	ollectors (1,2,4) [CC]	*		
Impact Fees		731,675		731,675		-	100.0%
TWDB Bonds		2,295,325		2,295,325		-	100.0%
Other Revenue		-		86,500		(86,500)	0.0%
Total Revenues	\$	3,027,000	\$	3,113,500	\$	(86,500)	102.9%
% of Project = Impact Fees		24.2%		23.5%			
Engineering/Design		531,672		531,672		(0)	100.0%
Construction		2,383,452		2,802,017		(418,565)	117.6%
Right of Way		72,225		72,225		(0)	100.0%
Legal		39,651		39,651		0	100.0%
Total Expenditures		3,027,000	\$	3,445,566		(418,566)	113.8%

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocatic \$

2,544,400

Reason for Variance:

The cost estimate in the study assumed a 20% contingency added to the base construction costs to cover all elements necessary for the scope of the project; items like pavement repair, service lines, restoration, landscaping and traffic control. For this project, those elements represented an approximate 55% addition to base construction costs.

	Marshall Branch East Collector Line Improvements (3) [CC]*								
Impact Fees		824,000		824,000		-	100.0%		
TWDB Bonds		2,472,000		2,472,000		-	100.0%		
Total Revenues	\$	3,296,000	\$	3,296,000	\$	-	100.0%		
% of Project = Impact Fees		25.0%		25.0%					
Engineering/Design		306,468		309,503		(3,035)	101.0%		
Mains and Services		745,220		-		745,220	0.0%		
Construction		2,182,899		2,938,970		(756,071)	134.6%		
Legal		32,597		32,597		(0)	100.0%		
Total Expenditures	\$	3,267,184	\$	3,281,070		(13,886)	100.4%		

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocatic \$

1,979,200

Reason for Variance:

The cost estimate in the study assumed a 20% contingency added to the base construction costs to cover all elements necessary for the scope of the project; items like pavement repair, service lines, restoration, landscaping and traffic control. For this project, those elements represented an approximate 60% addition to base construction costs.

	Big Bear Central Interceptor Ph II (6) [DR]								
Impact Fees Other Funding Sources		49,750 210,055		49,750 210,055		-	100.0% 100.0%		
Total Revenues	\$	259,805	\$	259,805	\$	-	100.0%		
% of Project = Impact Fees		19.1%		19.1%					
Engineering/Design		49,750		30,261		19,489	60.8%		
Mains and Services		210,055		277,292		(67,237)	132.0%		
Right of Way		-		-		-	0.0%		
Legal		-		-		-	0.0%		
Total Expenditures	\$	259,805	\$	307,553		(47,748)	118.4%		

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocatic \$

1,377,300

Reason for Variance:

Council has only adopted project costs of \$259,805 to date related to initial phases of the project.

Old Town Keller (8) [CC]								
Impact Fees		185,000		185,000		-	100.0%	
General Fund		2,505,000		2,505,000		-	100.0%	
Drainage Fund		215,000		215,000		-	100.0%	
Street Maintenance Fund		1,345,000		1,345,000		-	100.0%	
Total Revenues	\$	4,250,000	\$	4,250,000	\$	-	100.0%	
% of Project = Impact Fees		4.4%		4.4%				
Engineering/Design		-		144,969		(144,969)	0.0%	
Street Improvements		3,500,000		3,794,792		(294,792)	108.4%	
Mains and Services		450,000		272,814		177,186	60.6%	
Drainage Improvements		300,000		215,758		84,242	71.9%	
Total Expenditures	\$	4,250,000	\$	4,428,333		(178,333)	104.2%	

Project status code descriptions:

Not Started - NS

Under Design/ Engineering/ROW - DR

	Old Town Keller (8) - Wastewater Portion Only [CC]									
Impact Fees	185,0		185,000		-	100.0%				
Other Funding Sources Total Revenues	\$ 204,7		19,775 204,775	\$	-	100.0% 100.0%				
% of Project = Impact Fees	90.3%		90.3%							
Engineering/Design		-	-		_	0.0%				
Mains and Services	204,7	75	204,775		-	100.0%				
Right of Way		-	-		-	0.0%				
Legal		-	-		-	0.0%				
Total Expenditures	204,7	75	204,775		-	100.0%				

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocatic \$

427,400

Reason for Variance:

The cost estimate in the study assumed a 20% contingency added to the base construction costs to cover all elements necessary for the scope of the project; items like pavement repair, service lines, restoration, landscaping and traffic control. For this project, those elements represented an approximate 60% addition to base construction costs.

	FM 1709 SS Replacement (6) [DR]									
Impact Fees Water-Wastewater Fund		600,000 50,000		600,000		- 50,000	100.0% 0.0%			
Total Revenues	\$	650,000	\$	600,000	\$	50,000	92.3%			
% of Project = Impact Fees		92.3%		0.0%						
Engineering/Design		50,000		-		50,000	0.0%			
Construction		600,000		-		600,000	0.0%			
Right of Way		-		-		-	0.0%			
Legal		-		-		-	0.0%			
Total Expenditures	\$	650,000	\$	-		650,000	0.0%			

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocatic \$

1,377,300

Reason for Variance:

Council has only adopted project costs of \$650,000 to date related to initial phases of the project.

Big Bear East Collector Line Extension (5) [NS] (On Hold)									
Impact Fees		75,000			75,000		-	100.0%	
Total Revenues	\$	75,000	\$		75,000	\$	-	100.0%	
% of Project = Impact Fees	1	100.0%		0.0%					
Engineering/Design		75,000			-		75,000	0.0%	
Construction		-			-		-	0.0%	
Right of Way		-			-		-	0.0%	
Legal		-			-		-	0.0%	
Total Expenditures	\$	75,000	\$		-		75,000	0.0%	

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocatic \$

1,280,700

Reason for Variance:

Council has only adopted project costs of \$75,000 to date related to design phase of the project.

Project status code descriptions:

Not Started - NS

Under Design/ Engineering/ROW - DR

Wastewater Impact Fee Project Usage Summary^								
Total Impact Fees		2,465,425		2,465,425		-	100.0%	
Total Other Funding Sources		5,047,155		5,083,655		(36,500)	100.7%	
Total Revenues	\$	7,512,580	\$	7,549,080	\$	(36,500)	100.5%	
% of Project = Impact Fees		32.8%		32.7%				

^{* -} The three TWDB projects are currently still split between funds as they were near completion at the time of the FY 2015-16 financial polices. Once all the projects are officially closed out, an amendment may be approved by Council to move the projects into one fund and to make any necessary budget adjustments.

^{^ -} Reflects only wastewater

ROADWAY SYSTEM IMPACT FEE AND CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY

The following table provides impact fee collection for the two six-month periods of each fiscal year along with a total of twelve month activity for the current and prior five fiscal years. In addition, the six year total and average is provided.

Table 4-1A	Table 4-1A - North Roadway Impact Fee Collections by Fiscal Year 6 Month & 12 Month Activity									
Fiscal Year	Impact Fees	Impact Fees Collected Oct. thru Mar. Impact Fees Collected Apr. thru Sept.								
FY 2013-14	186,259	227,330	Sept. 413,589							
FY 2014-15	164,204	113,265	277,469							
FY 2015-16	181,278	126,872	308,150							
FY 2016-17	87,516	133,410	220,927							
FY 2017-18	103,070	94,013	197,083							
FY 2018-19	149,606		149,606							
6-Year Total	\$ 871,933	\$ 694,891	\$ 1,566,823							
6-Year Average	\$ 145,322	\$ 138,978	\$ 261,137							

Table 4-18	Table 4-1B - South Roadway Impact Fee Collections by Fiscal Year 6 Month & 12 Month Activity										
Fiscal Year	Impact Fees Collected Oct. thru Mar.	Impact Fees Collected Apr. thru Sept.	Impact Fees Collected Oct. thru Sept.								
FY 2013-14	218,173	206,325	424,498								
FY 2014-15	175,447	74,905	250,352								
FY 2015-16	176,455	451,299	627,754								
FY 2016-17	112,976	108,051	221,027								
FY 2017-18	122,577	134,384	256,961								
FY 2018-19	184,245		184,245								
6-Year Total	\$ 989,873	\$ 974,964	\$ 1,964,837								
6-Year Average	\$ 164,979	\$ 194,993	\$ 327,473								

	Table 4-1C - Total Roadway Impact Fee Collections by Fiscal Year 6 Month & 12 Month Activity											
Fiscal Year	Impact Fees Collected Oct. thru Mar.	Impact Fees Collected Apr. thru Sept.	Impact Fees Collected Oct. thru Sept.	Fund Balance								
FY 2013-14	404,432	433,655	838,087	4,034,472								
FY 2014-15	339,651	188,170	527,821	4,866,615								
FY 2015-16	357,733	578,171	935,904	5,389,944								
FY 2016-17	200,492	241,462	441,953	6,346,999								
FY 2017-18	225,646	228,397	454,044	6,866,778								
FY 2018-19	333,851	-	333,851	6,733,765								
6-Year Total	\$ 1,861,805	\$ 1,669,855	\$ 3,531,660									
6-Year Average	\$ 310,301	\$ 333,971	\$ 588,610									

The following table provides impact fee expenditures for the two six-month periods of each fiscal year along with a total of twelve month activity for the current and prior five fiscal years. In addition, the six year total and average is provided.

Table 4-2	Table 4-2A - North Roadway Impact Fee Expensed by Fiscal Year 6 Month & 12 Month Activity										
Fiscal Year		npact Fees sed Oct. thru Mar.	Impact Fees Expensed Apr. thru Sept.	Impact Fees Expensed Oct. thru Sept.							
FY 2013-14		-	14,846	14,846							
FY 2014-15		2,862	8,738	11,599							
FY 2015-16*		-	1	-							
FY 2016-17*		-	1	-							
FY 2017-18*		231,250	231,250	462,500							
FY 2018-19*		500,000		500,000							
6-Year Total	\$	734,112	\$ 254,833	\$ 988,945							
6-Year Average	\$	122,352	\$ 50,967	\$ 164,824							

Table 4-2	Table 4-2B - South Roadway Impact Fee Expensed by Fiscal Year 6 Month & 12 Month Activity										
Fiscal Year	Impact Fees Expensed Oct. thru Mar.	Impact Fees Expensed Apr. thru Sept.	Impact Fees Expensed Oct. thru Sept.								
FY 2013-14	-	14,846	14,846								
FY 2014-15	2,862	8,738	11,599								
FY 2015-16*	- 1	-	-								
FY 2016-17*	-	-	-								
FY 2017-18*	-	-	-								
FY 2018-19*	62,500		62,500								
6-Year Total	\$ 65,362	\$ 23,583	\$ 88,945								
6-Year Average	\$ 10,894	\$ 4,717	\$ 14,824								

The following table outlines the existing roadway system projects that supply the capacity needed for the 10-year planning period (2014-2024) as derived by the most recent impact fee study. These are noted as "recoup" under the project status column. It also outlines the proposed capital improvement projects that are needed in the next ten (10) years to address the new growth demand. The table separates the calculations into the North Area (seen on Table 4-2 as Service Area A) and the South Area (seen on Table 4-2 as Service Area B).

Table 4-3 - 2014 Keller Roadway Impact Fee Study Update
Roadway Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan

-				-		-		124	_				
Serv	QP	Reference	_	F	*.	Project	Length		Туре	Pot in	Paris at Cont.	Study Update	Serv Area
Area	Origin	CIP No.	Roadway	From	То	Status	(mi)	Lanes	Rdwy	Serv. Area	Project Cost*	Cost	Total Cost
A	2004	1	Johnson Road	US 377	Hafelujah	Recoup	1.58	2	UCS	50%	\$2,029,504	\$1,072	\$2,030,5
A	2004	2	Johnson Road	Hallelujah	Keller Smithfield	New	0.38	4	UC4	100%	\$1,976,500	\$1,135	\$1,977.6
A	2004	3	Mt. Glead	US 377	Roanoke	New	1.49	4	UC4	100%	\$9,461,944	\$4,449	\$9,466,3
A	2009	40	Bourland Road	Johnson	Mt. Glead	New	1.01	4	UC4	100%	\$6,142,560	\$3,029	\$6,145,5
A	2009	45	Bourland Road	Mt. Gilead	Marshall Ridge	Recoup	0.19	2	UCS	100%	\$415,413	\$259	\$4156
A	2004	5	Keller Smithfield	Johnson	FM 1709	Recoup	0.51	4	UC4	100%	\$268,500	\$1,523	\$270
A	2004	6	Rufe Snow Drive	FM 1709	Johnson	New	0.49	4	DA	50%	\$1,211,997	\$1,039	\$1,213,
<u>A</u>	2004	<u>7</u>	Pearson Lane	Florence	City Limits	New	1.78	4	UC4	100%	\$11,363,607	\$5,315	\$11,368,
Sub-total S	AA						7.44				\$32,870,024	\$17,820	\$32,887
В	2004	8	Bourfand Road	FM 1709	Johnson	Recoup	0.55	4	DA	100%	\$1,845210	\$2,333	\$1,847
8	2004	9	North Tarrant Parkway	US 377	Whitley	Recoup	0.22	6	PDA	100%	\$1,030,959	\$1,568	\$1,032
8	2004	10	North Tarrant Parkway	Whitley	City Limits	Recoup	1.49	6	PDA	100%	\$7,319,481	\$10,617	\$7,3300
8	2004	11	Bear Creek Parkway	Keller Smithfield	Davis	Recoup	2.14	4	DA	100%	\$2,736,917	\$9,076	\$2,7455
В	2004	12	Bear Creek Parkway	Keller Smithfield	Rule Snow	Recoup	0.62	4	DA	100%	\$2,738,269	\$2,642	\$2,740
В	2004	13	Rufe Snow Drive	FM 1709	Bear Creek	Recoup	0.21	6	PDA	100%	\$449,812	\$1,482	\$451.
В	2004	6	Rufe Snow Drive	Johnson	FM 1709	New	0.49	4	DA	50%	\$1,211,997	\$1,039	\$1,213
В	2004	14	Rufe Snow Drive	Bear Creek	Rapp	Recoup	1.35	4	PDA	100%	\$7,936,400	\$6,413	\$7,942
8	2004	15	Keller Smithfield Road	FM 1709	Wayside	Recoup	0.12	4	DA	100%	\$522,495	\$509	\$523.0
В	2004	16	Keller Smithfield Road	Wayside	Bear Run	Recoup	0.44	4	DA	100%	\$4,236,688	\$1,849	\$4,238
В	2004	17	Keller Smithfield Road	Bear Run	Shady Grove	New	1.32	4	DA	100%	\$8,226,070	\$5,596	\$8,231
В	2004	18	Keller Smithfield Road	Shady Grove	North Tarrent Parkway	Recoup	0.51	2	UA	100%	\$368,622	\$960	\$369;
8	2004	19	Keller Smithfield Road	Shady Grove	North Tarrent Parkway	New	0.51	2	UA	100%	\$1,924,910	\$960	\$1,925
В	2004	20	Rapp Road	US 377	Rule Snow	New	1.43	4	DA	100%	\$10,298,114	\$6,065	\$10,304
8	2004	1	Johnson Road	US 377	Hateluish	Recoup	1.58	2	ucs	50%	\$2,029,504	\$1,072	\$2,030
Sub-total S	AB				-		12.99	. –			\$52,875,447	\$52,180	\$52,927
Totals:							20.42				\$85,745,472	\$70,000	\$85,815,
Notes:			* 14.4. * 1.4. * P										
PDA- DA-			I divided arterial facility	Recoup									
UA-			arterial facility	New		Calcustina							
DC-			d arterial facility collector facility		* TXDOT Project, City part	cipation							
UC4			d collector facility - 4 lane										
UCS-			d collector with center turn										
UC2-			d collector facility - 2 lane										
0.04		Series de	menty - E leave										

North Roadway projects cost an estimated \$32,870,025 of which an amount of \$8,797,705 is attributed to the 10-year planning period. Therefore, about 26.8% of the total cost of these improvements is for the capacity to serve expected development during the planning period. In addition, an impact fee study cost of \$17,820 is included in the 10-year planning period for a total cost of \$8,815,525.

South Roadway projects cost an estimated \$52,875,448 of which an amount of \$13,987,917 is attributed to the 10-year planning period. Therefore, about 26.5% of the total cost of these improvements is for the capacity to serve expected development during the planning period. In addition, an impact fee study cost of \$52,180 is included in the 10-year planning period for a total cost of \$14,040,097.

Prior, current, and proposed use of impact fees for active and recently closed capital projects are listed in the table below. The numbers in parenthesis next to the project name provides a reference to the capital project listing on the study.

Table 4-4A -	- Use of	North Impact F	ees By Projec	ct and Fiscal Y	ear	
Project/Fiscal Year		of Impact Fees	<u> </u>	Budget	% Budget = Impact	
	Pr	oject Closed Du	ring FY 2018			
N/A						
		Currently Active	e Projects			
Johnson Road/Keller-						
Smithfield Roundabout (2,		462,500		925,000		50.0%
5) [DR]						
Johnson Road		1,000,000		2,000,000		50.0%
Reconstruction [RS to KS] (2)						30.070
Total	\$	1,462,500	\$	2,925,000	50.0%	
		On-Hold Pro	ojects			
N/A						
		Upcoming Pr	ojects*			
Bourland Road/Mt. Gilead		462,500		925,000		50.0%
Roundabout [RS to KS] (2)						
Mt. Gilead/Roanoke Rd		462,500		925,000		50.0%
Roundabout Bourland Road		- ,		,		
		1 000 000		2 000 000		FO 00/
Reconstruction [MTG to B]		1,000,000		2,000,000		50.0%
(4a)	\$	1 025 000	ć	2 950 000	E0 00/	
Total	Þ	1,925,000	\$	3,850,000	50.0%	
Total All Projects	\$	3,387,500	\$	6,775,000	50.0%	

Table 4-4B –	Table 4-4B – Use of South Impact Fees By Project and Fiscal Year												
Project/Fiscal Year	Use	of Impact Fees	Total Budget	% Budget = Impa fees	act								
Project Closed During FY 2018													
N/A													
		Currently Active	Projects										
Bear Creek / Keller- Smithfield Signal (12, 15, 16)		125,000	25	50,000 50	0.0%								
Total	\$	125,000	\$ 25	50,000 50.0%									
		On-Hold Pro	ojects										
N/A													
		Upcoming Pro	ojects*										
N/A													
Total All Projects	\$	125,000	\$ 25	50,000 50.0%									

- 1 Project cost split between both North and South as it impacts both service areas.
- 2 Rufe Snow and Johnson Road intersection is located at the border of the North and South section, therefore costs are split between the two service areas.

The table below provides a summary of City Council adopted capital projects which use impact fee funds. Included is a brief discussion of the variance between the Council adopted project budget and the capital project listing on study. The numbers in parenthesis next to the project name provides a reference to the capital project listing on the study.

Table 4-5 - Summary Schedule of Adopted Roadway Capital Project Revenues and Expenditures

Line-Item Description	Proj	ect Budget	Activ	ity thru March 31, 2019	\$ Remair	ning	% Transferred / Expenses
	John	son Road/Kel	ler-Sm	ithfield Roundabout	: (2, 5) [DR]		
Impact Fees		462,500		462,500		_	100.0%
General Fund		231,250		231,250		-	100.0%
Street Maintenance Fund		231,250		231,250		-	100.0%
Total Revenues	\$	925,000	\$	925,000	\$	-	100.0%
% of Project = Impact Fees		50.0%		50.0%			
Engineering/Design		325,000		125,187		199,813	38.5%
Construction		600,000		, -	(600,000	0.0%
Right of Way		-		-		-	0.0%
Legal		-		-		-	0.0%
Total Expenditures	\$	925,000	\$	125,187		799,813	13.5%

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocatic \$
Reason forect ateitans code descriptions:

2,423,994

Not Started - NS Under Design/ Engineering/ROW - DR

^{* -} Upcoming Projects have been placed on the City's five-year Capital Improvements Plan. The projects have not been approved and no funds have been appropriated by City Council.

	Johnson Road Reconstruction [RS to KS] (2)											
Impact Fees		1,000,000		500,000		500,000	50.0%					
General Fund		1,000,000		500,000		500,000	50.0%					
Total Revenues	\$	2,000,000	\$	1,000,000	\$	1,000,000	50.0%					
% of Project = Impact Fees		50.0%		50.0%								
Engineering/Design		-		23,939		(23,939)	0.0%					
Construction		2,000,000		-		2,000,000	0.0%					
Right of Way		-		-		-	0.0%					
Legal		-		-		-	0.0%					
Total Expenditures	\$	2,000,000	\$	23,939		1,976,061	1.2%					

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocatic \$ Reason for Variance:

2,423,994

	Bear Creek / Keller-Smithfield Signal (12, 15, 16)										
Impact Fees		125,000		62,500		62,500	50.0%				
General Fund		125,000		62,500		62,500	50.0%				
Total Revenues	\$	250,000	\$	125,000	\$	125,000	50.0%				
% of Project = Impact Fees		50.0%		50.0%							
Engineering/Design		-		-		-	0.0%				
Construction		250,000		-		250,000	0.0%				
Right of Way		-		-		-	0.0%				
Legal		-		-		-	0.0%				
Total Expenditures	\$	250,000	\$	-		250,000	0.0%				

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocatic \$ Reason for Variance:

2,423,994

Project status code descriptions: Not Started - NS Under Design/ Engineering/ROW - DR

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It is staff's opinion that the collection of impact fee service units over the 46-month period from June 2, 2015 thru March 31, 2019 is at an acceptable level and the capital improvements program is proceeding in a reasonable manner. Based on the percentage of collection being well below the 100% assessed level, we feel the chance of over collecting is improbable. The capital improvements program is proceeding in a reasonable manner with considerable capacity needs in each category during the planning period being supplied by projects already completed.