

Meeting Date: August 11, 2020

To:Capital Improvements Advisory CommitteeFrom:Alonzo Liñán, Director of Public Works

Subject: STATUS REPORT FOR IMPACT FEE PROGRAMS

October 1, 2019 thru March 31, 2020

The current impact fees are based on an impact fee study by Freese and Nichols, Inc. dated March 2015 (and adopted by Council June 2, 2015). The study identifies a list of impact fee eligible capital projects between 2014 and 2024 assuming an annual growth rate of 2%. The study also identifies the maximum impact fee amount that can be assessed per service unit in Table 1-1. The actual amount of impact fees collected by category is in Table 1-2.

Impact fees are transferred quarterly into capital projects. In doing so, the impact fee commitment to a project is equivalent to an approved expenditure, regardless of the year-to-date spending on the project. As it relates to capital budgets in the summary schedule of adopted project revenues and expenditures, capital projects use project life budgeting; meaning funds are available until the project is closed out. Both the transfer of impact fees and project life budgeting are based on financial policies and budget amendments adopted by Council during FY 2015-16. The financial policies were re-adopted for FY 2018-19.

Tal	Table 1-1 - Assessment Rate by Service Unit (S.U.s)										
As Adopted by Council on June 2, 2015											
		Assessment		Collection Rate							
Impact Fee	Rates/S.U.		Collected Rates/ S.U.s	divided by Assessment							
		Rates/3.U.		Rate							
Water	\$	2,918.00	\$ 979.10	33.6%							
Wastewater	\$	1,835.00	\$ 918.00	50.0%							
Roadway:			Res / Non-Res / Ret	Res / Non-Res / Ret							
North	\$	3,082.00		34.2% / 20.3% / 8.5%							
South	\$	1,720.00	\$860.00/626.18/263.09	50% / 36.4% / 15.3%							

Table 1-2 - Service Units (S.U.s) Collected and Collection for June 2, 2015 through March 31, 2020											
Impact Fee	Projected S.U.'s for 58 months	Collected SUs	% S.U.s Collected	Am	ount Collected						
Water	2,250.9	1,286.3	57.1%	\$	1,263,694						
Wastewater	2,813.5	1,117.5	39.7%	\$	1,033,299						
Roadway:											
North	3,244.5	1,576.4	48.6%	\$	1,121,026						
South	3,893.5	3,364.0	86.4%	\$	1,794,245						

## WATER SYSTEM IMPACT FEE AND CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY

The following table provides water impact fee collection for the two six-month periods of each fiscal year along with a total of twelve month activity for the current and prior five fiscal years. In addition, the six year total and average is provided.

	Table 2-1 - Water Impact Fee COLLECTED by Fiscal Year 6 Month & 12 Month Activity										
Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year Oct. thru Mar. Apr. thru Sept. Oct. thru Sept. Fund Balance										
FY 2014-15	145,926	101,198	247,124	1,489,358							
FY 2015-16	132,338	154,502	286,840	1,348,095							
FY 2016-17	188,281	101,533	289,814	(269,226)							
FY 2017-18	61,781	114,917	176,699	32,950							
FY 2018-19	111,226	191,512	302,738	459,031							
FY 2019-20	120,625	-	120,625								
6-Year Total	\$ 760,177	\$ 663,662	\$ 1,423,839								
6-Year Average	\$ 126,696	\$ 110,610	\$ 237,307								

The following table provides water impact fee expenditures for the two six-month periods of each fiscal year along a total of twelve month activity for the current and prior five fiscal years. In addition, the six year total and average is provided.

Tabl	Table 2-2 - Water Impact Fee EXPENSED by Fiscal Year 6 Month & 12 Month Activity										
Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year Oct. thru Mar. Apr. thru Sept. Oct. thru Sept.										
FY 2014-15	23,997	404,105	428,103								
FY 2015-16*	164,116	1,743,019	1,907,135								
FY 2016-17*	1,549,743	1,549,743	3,099,485								
FY 2017-18*	50,000	50,000	100,000								
FY 2018-19*	-	-	-								
FY 2019-20*	150,000	-	-								
6-Year Total	6-Year Total \$ 1,937,856 \$ 3,746,867 \$ 5,534,										
6-Year Average	\$ 322,976	\$ 624,478	\$ 922,454								

Table 2-3 outlines the existing water distribution system projects that supply capacity anticipated for the 10-year planning period (2014-2024) as derived by the most recent impact fee study along with estimated percent utilization for that planning

		P	ercent Utiliza	tion	Costs Based on 2014 Dollars		
						10-Year	
No.	Description of Project	2014	2024*	2014-2024	Capital Cost	Development	(2014-2024
		EXISTI	NG				
Α	3.0 MG Pearson Ground Storage Tank	15%	65%	50%	\$1,779,010	\$266,852	\$889,505
В	Pearson Pump Station Improvements	75%	90%	15%	\$1,197,400	\$898,050	\$179,610
С	Knox Elevated Storage Tank	60%	85%	25%	\$2,059,216	\$1,235,530	\$514,804
D	Keller-Smithfield Elevated Storage Tank	75%	90%	15%	\$2,074,509	\$1,555,882	\$311,176
Е	16-inch Lower Pressure Plane Water Line	75%	90%	15%	\$3,084,977	\$2,313,733	\$462,747
F	12-inch Lower Pressure Plane Water Line	55%	85%	30%	\$2,757,117	\$1,516,414	\$827,135
G	12-inch Upper Pressure Plane Water Line	10%	70%	60%	\$232,000	\$23,200	\$139,200
Н	12-inch Rufe Snow Water Line	50%	85%	35%	\$204,000	\$102,000	\$71,400
T.	12-inch Upper Pressure Plane Water Line	25%	55%	30%	\$200,000	\$50,000	\$60,000
J	Water Impact Fee Study	0%	100%	100%	\$42,000	\$0	\$42,000
istii	ng Project Sub-total		•		\$13,630,229	\$7,961,660	\$3,497,57
		PROPOS	SED	•			
1	12-inch Water Lines in Upper Pressure Plane	25%	60%	35%	\$320,600	\$80,150	\$112,210
2a	Alta Vista Pump Station Expansion to 18 MGD	15%	40%	25%	\$5,521,200	\$828,180	\$1,380,30
2b	Fort Worth Water Delivery Capital Cost Recovery	0%	40%	40%	\$1,216,440	\$0	\$486,570
3	30-inch Alta Vista Pump Station Water Line	0%	40%	40%	\$5,472,000	\$0	\$2,188,80
4	12-inch Water Lines in Upper Pressure Plane	0%	60%	60%	\$884,600	\$0	\$530,760
5	12-inch Johnson Road Water Line	20%	70%	50%	\$743,900	\$148,780	\$371,950
_	16-inch Mt. Gilead and Bancroft Road and 12-inch Keller-Smithfield	EE0/	050/	400/	£4.022.200	¢4.052.250	A772 200
6	Road Water Line	55%	95%	40%	\$1,933,200	\$1,063,260	\$773,280
7	16-inch Florence Road Water Line	10%	65%	55%	\$1,229,600	\$122,960	\$676,280
8	8-inch Lower Pressure Plane Water Line	0%	5%	5%	\$219,000	\$0	\$10,950
9	Pearson Pump Station Upper Pressure Plane Expansion	0%	20%	20%	\$905,700	\$0	\$181,140
10	12-inch Florence Road Water Line	15%	50%	35%	\$1,100,900	\$165,135	\$385,31
11	12-inch Bear Creak Parkway Water Line	10%	55%	45%	\$707,000	\$70,700	\$318,150
12	12-inch and 16-inch South Upper Pressure Plane Water Lines	45%	60%	15%	\$632,100	\$284,445	\$94,815
opc	sed Project Sub-total		•		\$20,886,240	\$2,763,610	\$7,510,52
	Capital Improvements Cost				\$34,516,469	\$10,725,270	\$11.008.1

Projects A through J are existing Water projects. The \$3,497,577 of the total \$13,630,229 cost for these projects is attributed to growth in the 10-year planning period or about 25.7%.

Proposed Water projects 1 through 12 anticipated for construction during 2014-2024 and the existing Water projects together cost an estimated total of \$34,516,429. A total of \$11,008,103 is attributed to growth in the 10-year planning period. Therefore,

Prior, current, and proposed use of impact fees for active and recently closed capital projects are listed in the table below. The numbers in parenthesizes next to the project name provides a reference to the capital project listing in the study.

Table 2-4 – Use of Water Impact Fees By Project and Fiscal Year										
Project/Fiscal Year		f Impact Fees		Total Budget	% Budget = Impact fees					
Project Closed During FY 2019										
N/A										
	(	Currently Active	e Proj	ects						
	a Vista Pum		ovem	ents (2a&2b) [UC]						
FY 16		778,505		778,505	100.0%					
FY 17		-		1,630,000	0.0%					
FY 18		-		5,127,200	0.0%					
FY 20		-		177,550	0.0%					
Total	\$	778,505	\$	7,713,255	10.1%					
	Alta Vis	sta Transmissio	n Ma	in (3) [DR]						
FY 16		1,042,715		1,042,715	100.0%					
FY 17		2,949,485		4,579,485	64.4%					
FY 18		-		2,107,800	0.0%					
FY 20		-		210,878	0.0%					
Total	\$	3,992,200	\$	7,940,878	50.3%					
12-1	nch Water	Lines In Upper	Pres	sure Plane (4) [DR]						
FY 17		200,000		200,000	100.0%					
FY 18		-		150,000	0.0%					
FY 20		(100,000)		-	-100.0%					
Total	\$	100,000	\$	350,000	28.6%					
	Highwa	ıy 377 12" Wat	er Lir	es (4) [NS]						
FY 18		100,000		100,000	100.0%					
FY 19		-		900,000	0.0%					
FY 20		400,000		(133,095)	-300.5%					
Total	\$	500,000	\$	866,905	57.7%					
	· · ·	,	•	,						
Total All Water Projects	\$	5,370,705	\$	16,871,038	31.8%					

<sup>\* -</sup> Upcoming Projects have been placed on the City's five-year Capital Improvements Plan. The projects have not been approved and no funds have been appropriated by City Council.

Table 2-5 provides a summary of City Council adopted capital projects which use impact fee funds. Included is a brief discussion of the variance between the Council adopted project budget and the capital project listing on the study. The numbers in parenthesizes next to the project name provides a reference to the capital project listing on the study.

Table 2-5 - Summary Schedule of Adopted Water Capital Project Revenues and Expenditures

Line-Item Description	Project B	Activity thru March 31, 2020		\$ Remaining	% Transferred / Expenses
	•				
Impact Fees		778,505	778,505	-	100.0%
Water-Wastewater Fund		177,550	177,550	-	100.0%
Debt Issuance	6,	757,200	6,757,200	-	100.0%
Total Revenues	\$ 7,	713,255 \$	7,713,255	\$ -	100.0%
% of Project = Impact Fees		10.1%	10.1%		
Engineering/Design		956,055	954,754	1,301	99.9%
Construction		-	-	-	0.0%
Building Improvements	6,	757,200	6,502,343	254,857	96.2%
Right of Way		-	-	-	0.0%
Legal		-	-	-	0.0%
Total Expenditures	\$ 7,	713,255 \$	7,457,097	256,158	96.7%

6,737,640

**Reason for Variance:** 

Council has fully funded this project.

	Alta Vista Transmission Main Improvements (3) [DR]									
Impact Fees		3,992,200	3,992,200	-	100.0%					
Water-Wastewater Fund		210,878	210,878	-	100.0%					
Debt Issuance		3,737,800	3,737,800	-	100.0%					
Total Revenues	\$	7,940,878 \$	7,940,878 \$	-	100.0%					
% of Project = Impact Fees		50.3%	50.3%							
Engineering/Design		1,042,715	966,085	76,630	92.7%					
Construction		6,537,285	6,644,809	(107,524)	101.6%					
Right of Way		150,000	128,766	21,234	85.8%					
Legal		-	· -	-	0.0%					
Total Expenditures	\$	7,730,000 \$	7,739,660	(9,660)	100.1%					

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocation \$

5,472,000

**Reason for Variance:** 

The difference is the amount of budget/funding that has been transferred to date versus the amount of cost assumed to develop the Impact Fee rates.

Line-Item Description	Project Budget		Activity thru March 31, 2020		\$ Remaining	% Transferred / Expenses					
12-Inch Water Lines in Upper Pressure Plane (4) [DR]											
Impact Fees		100,000		150,000		(50,000)	150.0%				
Water-Wastewater Fund		250,000		306,294		(56,294)	122.5%				
Total Revenues	\$	350,000	\$	456,294	\$	(106,294)	130.4%				
% of Project = Impact Fees		28.6%		32.9%							
Engineering/Design		50,000		36,067		13,933	72.1%				
Special/Other Services		_		20,020		(20,020)	0.0%				
Construction		300,000		96		299,904	0.0%				
Right of Way		-		3,250		(3,250)	0.0%				
Legal		-		-		-	0.0%				
Total Expenditures	\$	350,000	\$	59,434		290,566	17.0%				

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocation \$

884,600

**Reason for Variance:** 

Council has only adopted project costs of \$350,000 to date related to initial phases of the project.

Highway 377 12" Water Lines (4) [NS]								
Impact Fees		500,000	300,000	200,000	60.0%			
Water-Wastewater Fund		366,095	183,048	183,047	50.0%			
Debt Issuance		-	-	-	0.0%			
Total Revenues	\$	866,095 \$	483,048 \$	383,047	55.8%			
% of Project = Impact Fees		57.7%	62.1%					
Engineering/Design		116,695	108,919	7,776	93.3%			
Construction		749,400	-	749,400	0.0%			
Right of Way		-	-	-	0.0%			
Legal		-	-	-	0.0%			
Total Expenditures	\$	866,095 \$	108,919	757,176	12.6%			

884,600

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocation \$
Reason for Variance: Cou Council has only adopted project costs of \$100,000 to date related to initial phases of the

project.

Water Impact Fee Project Usage Summary									
Total Impact Fees	\$	5,370,705	\$	5,220,705	150,000	97.2%			
Total Other Funding Sources	\$	11,499,523	\$	11,372,770	126,753	98.9%			
<b>Total Revenues</b> % of Project = Impact Fees	\$	<b>16,870,228</b> 31.8%	\$	<b>16,593,475</b> \$ 31.5%	276,753	98.4%			

#### WASTEWATER SYSTEM IMPACT FEE AND CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY

The following table provides Wastewater impact fee collection for the two six-month periods of each fiscal year along with a total of twelve month activity for the current and prior five fiscal years. In addition, the six year total and average is provided.

	Table 3-1 - Wastewater Impact Fee COLLECTED by Fiscal Year 6 Month & 12 Month Activity										
Fiscal Year	Oct. thru Mar.	Apr. thru Sept.	Oct. thru Sept.	Fund Balance							
FY 2014-15	143,098	91,329	234,427	3,207,975							
FY 2015-16	116,556	115,484	232,040	4,481,776							
FY 2016-17	129,897	85,885	215,782	2,970,713							
FY 2017-18	81,519	104,652	186,171	3,214,589							
FY 2018-19	92,167	130,999	223,166	3,503,237							
FY 2019-20	106,213	-	106,213	-							
6-Year Total	\$ 669,450	\$ 528,348	\$ 1,197,798								
6-Year Average	\$ 111,575	\$ 88,058	\$ 199,633								

The following table provides Wastewater impact fee expenditures for the two six-month periods of each fiscal year along a total of twelve month activity for the current and prior five fiscal years. In addition, the six year total and average is provided.

Table 3-2 - Wastewater Impact Fee EXPENSED by Fiscal Year									
	6 Month & 12 Month Activity								
Fiscal Year	Oct. thru Mar.	Apr. thru Sept.	Oct. thru Sept.						
FY 2014-15	84,435	(1,126,197)	(1,041,761)						
FY 2015-16*	9,200	1,717,645	1,726,845						
FY 2016-17*	337,500	1,017,565	1,355,065						
FY 2017-18*	-	-	-						
FY 2018-19*	-	-	-						
FY 2019-20*	-	-	-						
6-Year Total	\$ 431,135	\$ 1,609,014	\$ 2,040,149						
6-Year Average	\$ 71,856	\$ 268,169	\$ 340,025						

The following table outlines the existing wastewater collection system projects that supply the capacity needed for the 10-year planning period (2014-2024) as derived by the most recent impact fee study. It also outlines the proposed capital improvement projects to be needed within the next ten (10) years with the estimated percent utilization for that planning period.

Table 3-3 - Wastewater Collection System Improvements 2014-2024

Cost Allocation for Impact Fee Calculations

Percent Utilization Costs Based on 2014 Doll.							
						Current	
No.	Description of Project	2014	2024*	2014-2024	Capital Cost	Development	10-Year (2014-2024)
			EXIST	ING			
Α	Marshall Branch West Lift Station and Interceptor	35%	80%	45%	\$1,855,759	\$649,516	\$835,092
В	Marshall Branch East Lift Station and Interceptor	40%	85%	45%	\$1,611,295	\$644,518	\$725,083
С	Big Bear East Branch Interceptor	5%	55%	50%	\$1,582,758	\$79,138	\$791,379
	Katy Road Lift Station and Sanitary Sewer	209/	900/	E00/	¢1.050.440	ĆE 07.02E	¢070 725
D	Improvements	30%	80%	50%	\$1,959,449	\$587,835	\$979,725
Е	Wastewater Impact Fee Study	0%	100%	100%	\$42,000	\$0	\$42,000
xisti	ng Project Sub-total				\$7,051,261	\$1,961,006	\$3,373,278
			PROP	OSED			
1	8-inch and 12-inch Big Bear East Wastewater	0%	65%	65%	\$703,600	\$0	\$457,340
1	Interceptor	0%	65%	65%	\$703,600	\$0	\$457,540
2	North Big Bear East Septic Elimination Lines	0%	30%	30%	\$636,800	\$0	\$191,040
3	Southwest Marshall Branch Septic Elimination Lines	0%	40%	40%	\$1,979,200	\$0	\$791,680
4	West Big Bear East Septic Elimination Lines	0%	30%	30%	\$1,204,000	\$0	\$361,200
5	Big Bear East Wastewater Improvements	0%	40%	40%	\$1,280,700	\$0	\$512,280
6	12-inch to 18-inch Big Bear Wastewater Interceptor	0%	50%	50%	\$1,377,300	\$0	\$688,650
7	North Branch of Big Bear Wastewater Interceptor	0%	25%	25%	\$744,800	\$0	\$186,200
8	Big Bear West Collector Replacement	30%	45%	15%	\$427,400	\$128,220	\$64,110
9	8-inch and 10-inch Wastewater Lines in Western Big Bear Southwest	30%	60%	30%	\$388,400	\$116,520	\$116,520
10	Cade Branch Interceptor	0%	55%	55%	\$288,000	\$0	\$158,400
11	Big Bear East Assorted Septic Eliminations	0%	35%	35%	\$1,506,200	\$0	\$527,170
12	Big Bear West Interceptor Replacement	85%	90%	5%	\$465,800	\$395,930	\$23,290
13	Big Bear Southwest Interceptor Replacement	85%	95%	10%	\$441,400	\$375,190	\$44,140
14	Big Bear South 1 Interceptor Replacement	85%	90%	5%	\$305,000	\$259,250	\$15,250
15	Little Bear East Interceptor Replacement	90%	95%	5%	\$360,600	\$324,540	\$18,030
16	Big Bear South 2 Interceptor Replacement	90%	100%	10%	\$233,300	\$209,970	\$23,330
17	8-inch Northern Marshall Branch East Wastewater Line	0%	20%	20%	\$385,600	\$0	\$77,120
18	Big Bear East Central Septic Elimination Lines	0%	45%	45%	\$611,200	\$0	\$275,040
19	Northern Big Bear East Septic Elimination Lines	0%	40%	40%	\$1,200,600	\$0	\$480,240
20	Melody Hills Estates Septic Elimination Lines	0%	45%	45%	\$945,900	\$0	\$425,655
ropo	sed Project Sub-total				\$15,485,800	\$1,809,620	\$5,436,685
otal	Capital Improvements Cost				\$22,537,061	\$3,770,626	\$8,809,963

Wastewater projects A through E are existing. The \$3,373,279 of the total \$7,051,261 cost for these projects is attributed to the 10-year planning period or about 47.8%.

Proposed Wastewater projects 1 through 20 anticipated for construction during 2014-2024 and the existing wastewater projects together cost an estimated total of \$22,537,061. A total of \$8,809,964 is attributed to the 10-year planning period. Therefore, about 39.1% of the total cost of these improvements is for the capacity to serve expected development during the planning period.

Prior, current, and proposed use of impact fees for active and recently closed capital projects are listed in the table below. The numbers in parenthesizes next to the project name provides a reference to the capital project listing on the study.

Table 3-4 – I	Jse of Wasto	ewater Impac	t Fee:	s By Project and Fiscal				
Project/Fiscal Year	llse of	Impact Fees		Total Budget	% Budget = Impact			
Troject/Tiscar rear		•			fees			
Project Closed During FY 2019								
	C	urrently Active	Dro	iorts				
		differitiy Active	- 110	jects				
Big B	ear East Coll	ectors (1,2,4)	[CC]	(Manor Way area)				
FY 16		731,675		3,027,000	24.2%			
Total	\$	731,675	\$	3,027,000	24.2%			
	all Branch E	Collectors (3)	[CC]	(Summer Lane area)				
FY 15		78,780		2,550,780	3.1%			
FY 16		745,220		745,220	100.0%			
Total	\$	824,000	\$	3,296,000	25.0%			
Dia Da	or Control Ir	starganter Dh	II (C)	[CC] (Coop Estatos)				
	ear Central Ir	nterceptor Ph	11 (6)		75 40/			
FY 16	\$	649,750	ć	861,384	75.4%			
Total	\$	649,750	<u> </u>	861,384	75.4%			
	Walke	er Developme	nt Ag	reement				
FY 20		37,500		146,173	25.7%			
Total	\$	37,500	\$	146,173	25.7%			
	·	•	•	·				
		On-Hold Pro	•					
	Big Bear Eas	t Collector Lin	e Ext	ension (5) [NS]				
FY 17		75,000		75,000	100.0%			
FY 20		(37,500)		(37,500)	100.0%			
Total	\$	37,500	\$	37,500	100.0%			
		Upcoming Pr	oject					
SS Evaluation Study		90,000		180,000	50.0%			
Total All Duaisets	<u> </u>	2 270 425	ċ	7 540 057	21 40/			
Total All Projects	\$	2,370,425	Ş	7,548,057	31.4%			

<sup>^ -</sup> Upcoming Projects have been placed on the City's five-year Capital Improvements Plan. The projects have not been

Table 3-5 provides a summary of City Council adopted capital projects which use impact fee funds. Included is a brief discussion of the variance between the Council adopted project budget and the capital project listing on the study. The numbers in parenthesis next to the project name provides a reference to the capital project listing on the study.

Table 3-5 - Summary Schedule of Adopted Wastewater Capital Project Revenues and Expenditures

Line-Item Description	Project Budget		Acti	vity thru March 31,	\$ Remaining	% Transferred /			
Line-item Description	FIC			2020	ر Nemaining	Expenses			
Big Bear East Collectors (1,2,4) [CC]*									
Impact Fees		731,675		731,675	-	100.0%			
TWDB Bonds		2,295,325		2,295,325	-	100.0%			
Other Revenue		-		86,500	(86,500)	0.0%			
Total Revenues	\$	3,027,000	\$	3,113,500 \$	(86,500)	102.9%			
% of Project = Impact Fees		24.2%		23.5%					
Engineering/Design		531,672		531,672	(0)	100.0%			
Construction		2,383,452		2,803,097	(419,645)	117.6%			
Right of Way		72,225		72,225	(0)	100.0%			
Legal		39,651		39,651	0	100.0%			
Total Expenditures	\$	3,027,000	\$	3,446,646	(419,646)	113.9%			

Ś

**Reason for Variance:** 

The cost estimate in the study assumed a 20% contingency added to the base construction costs to cover all elements necessary for the scope of the project; items like pavement repair, service lines, restoration, landscaping and traffic control. For this project, those elements represented an approximate 55% addition to base construction costs.

Marshall Branch East Collector Line Improvements (3) [CC]*							
Impact Fees		824,000	824,000	-	100.0%		
TWDB Bonds		2,472,000	2,472,000	-	100.0%		
Total Revenues	\$	3,296,000 \$	3,296,000 \$	-	100.0%		
% of Project = Impact Fees		25.0%	25.0%				
Engineering/Design		306,468	309,503	(3,035)	101.0%		
Mains and Services		-	· -	-	0.0%		
Construction		2,182,899	2,938,970	(756,071)	134.6%		
Legal		32,597	32,597	(0)	100.0%		
Total Expenditures	Ş	2,521,964 \$	3,281,070	(759,106)	130.1%		

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocation \$

1,979,200

2,544,400

**Reason for Variance:** 

The cost estimate in the study assumed a 20% contingency added to the base construction costs to cover all elements necessary for the scope of the project; items like pavement repair, service lines, restoration, landscaping and traffic control. For this project, those elements represented an approximate 60% addition to base construction costs.

	Big Bear Central Inte	erceptor Ph II (6) [DR]		
Impact Fees	649,750	649,750	-	100.0%
Water-Wastewater Fund	211,634	211,634	-	100.0%
Total Revenues	\$ 861,384 \$	861,384 \$	-	100.0%
% of Project = Impact Fees	75.4%	75.4%		
Engineering/Design	49,750	49,750	-	100.0%
Mains and Services	811,634	277,292	534,342	34.2%
Right of Way	-	11,375	(11,375)	0.0%
Legal	-	-	-	0.0%
Total Expenditures	\$ 861,384 \$	338,417	522,967	39.3%

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocation \$

1,377,300

Reason for Variance:

Big Bear East Collector Line Extension (5) [NS] (On Hold)							
Impact Fees		37,500	37,500	-	100.0%		
Total Revenues	\$	37,500 \$	37,500 \$	-	100.0%		
% of Project = Impact Fees		100.0%	0.0%				
Engineering/Design		37,500	-	37,500	0.0%		
Mains and Services		-	-	-	0.0%		
Right of Way		-	-	-	0.0%		
Legal		-	-	-	0.0%		
Total Expenditures	\$	37,500 \$	-	37,500	0.0%		

1,280,700

Reason for Variance:

Council has only adopted project costs of \$75,000 to date related to design phase of the project.

Walker Development Agreement						
Impact Fees		37,500	37,500	-	100.0%	
Water-Wastewater Fund		108,673	108,673	-	100.0%	
Total Revenues	\$	146,173 \$	146,173 \$	-	100.0%	
% of Project = Impact Fees		25.7%	0.0%			
Engineering/Design		-	-	-	0.0%	
Mains and Services		146,173	146,173	-	100.0%	
Right of Way		-	· -	-	0.0%	
Legal		-	-	-	0.0%	
Total Expenditures	Ş	146,173 \$	146,173	-	100.0%	

# Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocation Reason for Variance:

Wastewater Impact Fee Project Usage Summary^						
Total Impact Fees		2,280,425	2,280,425		-	100.0%
Total Other Funding Sources		5,087,632	5,174,132		(86,500)	101.7%
Total Revenues	\$	7,368,057 \$	7,454,557	\$	(86,500)	101.2%
% of Project = Impact Fees		31.0%	30.6%			

<sup>\* -</sup> The three TWDB projects are currently still split between funds as they were near completion at the time of the FY 2015-16 financial polices. Once all the projects are officially closed out, an amendment may be approved by Council to move the projects into one fund and to make any necessary budget adjustments.

<sup>^ -</sup> Reflects only wastewater

# **ROADWAY SYSTEM IMPACT FEE AND CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY**

The following table provides impact fee collection for the two six-month periods of each fiscal year along with a total of twelve month activity for the current and prior five fiscal years. In addition, the six year total and average is provided.

Table 4-1A -	Table 4-1A - NORTH ROADWAY Impact Fee COLLECTED by Fiscal Year								
	6 Month & 12	Month Activity							
Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year Oct. thru Mar. Apr. thru Sept. Oct. thru Sept.								
FY 2014-15	164,20	113,265	277,469						
FY 2015-16	181,27	126,872	308,150						
FY 2016-17	87,51	6 133,410	220,927						
FY 2017-18	103,07	94,013	197,083						
FY 2018-19	149,60	68,981	218,587						
FY 2019-20	101,97	-	101,973						
6-Year Total	\$ 787,64	6 \$ 536,542	\$ 1,324,188						
6-Year Average	\$ 131,27	4 \$ 89,424	\$ 220,698						

Table 4-1B -	Table 4-1B - SOUTH ROADWAY Impact Fee COLLECTED by Fiscal Year 6 Month & 12 Month Activity									
Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year Oct. thru Mar. Apr. thru Sept. Oct. thru Sept.									
FY 2014-15	175,447	74,905	250,352							
FY 2015-16	176,455	451,299	627,754							
FY 2016-17	112,976	108,051	221,027							
FY 2017-18	122,577	134,384	256,961							
FY 2018-19	184,245	216,416	400,661							
FY 2019-20	91,428	-	91,428							
6-Year Total	\$ 863,127	\$ 985,055	\$ 1,848,183							
6-Year Average	\$ 143,855	\$ 164,176	\$ 308,030							

	Table 4-1C - TOTAL ROADWAY Impact Fee COLLECTED by Fiscal Year						
	6 Mo	nth & 12 Month Activity					
Fiscal Year	Oct. thru Mar.	Apr. thru Sept.	Oct. thru Sept.	Fund Balance			
FY 2014-15	339,651	188,170	527,821	4,866,615			
FY 2015-16	357,733	578,171	935,904	5,389,944			
FY 2016-17	200,492	241,462	441,953	6,346,999			
FY 2017-18	225,646	228,397	454,044	6,953,958			
FY 2018-19	333,851	285,398	619,249	6,591,968			
FY 2019-20	193,400	-	193,400				
6-Year Total	\$ 1,650,774	\$ 1,521,597	\$ 3,172,371				
6-Year Average	\$ 275,129	\$ 253,600	\$ 528,729				

The following table provides impact fee expenditures for the two six-month periods of each fiscal year along with a total of twelve month activity for the current and prior five fiscal years. In addition, the six year total and average is provided.

Table 4-2A - NORTH ROADWAY Impact Fee EXPENSED by Fiscal Year 6 Month & 12 Month Activity											
Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year Oct. thru Mar. Apr. thru Sept. Oct. thru Sept.										
FY 2014-15		2,862	8,738	11,599							
FY 2015-16*		-	-	-							
FY 2016-17*		-	-	-							
FY 2017-18*		231,250	231,250	462,500							
FY 2018-19*		500,000	500,000	1,000,000							
FY 2019-20*		-	1	-							
6-Year Total	\$	734,112	\$ 739,988	\$ 1,474,099							
6-Year Average	\$	122,352	\$ 123,331	\$ 245,683							

Table 4-2	Table 4-2B - SOUTH ROADWAY Impact Fee EXPENSED by Fiscal Year 6 Month & 12 Month Activity										
Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year Oct. thru Mar. Apr. thru Sept. Oct. thru Sept.										
FY 2014-15		2,862	8,738	11,599							
FY 2015-16*		-	-	-							
FY 2016-17*		-	-	-							
FY 2017-18*		-	-	-							
FY 2018-19*		62,500	62,500	125,000							
FY 2019-20*		-	-	-							
6-Year Total	\$	65,362	\$ 71,238	\$ 136,599							
6-Year Average	\$	10,894	\$ 11,873	\$ 22,767							

The following table outlines the existing roadway system projects that supply the capacity needed for the 10-year planning period (2014-2024) as derived by the most recent impact fee study. These are noted as "recoup" under the project status column. It also outlines the proposed capital improvement projects that are needed in the next ten (10) years to address the new growth demand. The table separates the calculations into the North Area (seen on Table 4-2 as Service Area A) and the South Area (seen on Table 4-2 as Service Area B).

Table 4-3 - 2014 Keller Roadway Impact Fee Study Update
Roadway Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan

Serv	aР	Reference	,			Project	Length	No. of	Type	Pct in		Study Update	Serv Area
Area	Origin	CIP No.	Roadway	From	То	Status	(mi)	Lanes	Rdwy	Serv. Area	Project Cost*	Cost	Total Cost
A	2004	1	Johnson Road	US 377	Halfelujah	Recoup	1.58	2	UCS	50%	\$2,029,504	\$1,072	\$2,030,576
A	2004	2	Johnson Road	Hallelujah	Keller Smithfield	New	0.38	4	UC4	100%	\$1,976,500	\$1,135	\$1,977,635
A	2004	3	Mt. Glead	US 377	Roanoke	New	1.49	4	UC4	100%	\$9,461,944	\$4,449	\$9,466,393
A	2009	4a	Bourland Road	Johnson	Mt. Gilead	New	1.01	4	UC4	100%	\$6,142,560	\$3,029	\$6,145,589
A	2009	4b	Bourland Road	Mt. Gilead	Marshall Ridge	Recoup	0.19	2	UCS	100%	\$415,413	\$259	\$415,672
A	2004	5	Keller Smithfield	Johnson	FM 1709	Recoup	0.51	4	UC4	100%	\$268,500	\$1,523	\$270,023
A	2004	6	Rufe Snow Drive	FM 1709	Johnson	New	0.49	4	DA	50%	\$1,211,997	\$1,039	\$1,213,036
<u>A</u>	2004	7	Pearson Lane	Florence	City Limits	New	1.78	4	UC4	100%	\$11,363,607	\$5,315	\$11,368,922
Sub-total S	AA						7.44				\$32,870,024	\$17,820	\$32,887,844
В	2004	8	Bourfand Road	FM 1709	Johnson	Recoup	0.55	4	DA	100%	\$1,845,210	\$2,333	\$1,847,543
В	2004	9	North Tarrant Parkway	US 377	Whitley	Recoup	0.22	6	PDA	100%	\$1,030,959	\$1,568	\$1,032,526
В	2004	10	North Tarrant Parkway	Whitley	City Limits	Recoup	1.49	6	PDA	100%	\$7,319,481	\$10,617	\$7,330,097
В	2004	11	Bear Creek Parkway	Keller Smithfield	Davis	Recoup	2.14	4	DA	100%	\$2,736,917	\$9,076	\$2,745,993
В	2004	12	Bear Creek Parkway	Keller Smithfield	Rule Snow	Recoup	0.62	4	DA	100%	\$2,738,269	\$2,642	\$2,740,912
В	2004	13	Rufe Snow Drive	FM 1709	Bear Creek	Recoup	0.21	6	PDA	100%	\$449,812	\$1,482	\$451,294
В	2004	6	Rufe Snow Drive	Johnson	FM 1709	New	0.49	4	DA	50%	\$1,211,997	\$1,039	\$1,213,036
В	2004	14	Rufe Snow Drive	Bear Creek	Rapp	Recoup	1.35	4	PDA	100%	\$7,936,400	\$6,413	\$7,942,813
В	2004	15	Keller Smithfield Road	FM 1709	Wayside	Recoup	0.12	4	DA	100%	\$522,495	\$509	\$523,004
В	2004	16	Keller Smithfield Road	Wayside	Bear Run	Recoup	0.44	4	DA	100%	\$4,236,688	\$1,849	\$4,238,537
В	2004	17	Keller Smithfield Road	Bear Run	Shady Grove	New	1.32	4	DA	100%	\$8,226,070	\$5,596	\$8,231,665
В	2004	18	Keller Smithfield Road	Shady Grove	North Tarrant Parkway	Recoup	0.51	2	UA	100%	\$368,622	\$960	\$369,582
В	2004	19	Keller Smithfield Road	Shady Grove	North Tarrent Parkway	New	0.51	2	UA	100%	\$1,924,910	\$960	\$1,925,870
В	2004	20	Rapp Road	US 377	Rule Snow	New	1.43	4	DA	100%	\$10,298,114	\$6,065	\$10,304,179
В	2004	1	Johnson Road	US 377	Halfeluiah	Recoup	1.58	2	ucs	50%	\$2,029,504	\$1,072	\$2,030,576
Sub-total S	A B						12.99				\$52,875,447	\$52,180	\$52,927,627
Totals:							20.42				\$85,745,472	\$70,000	\$85,815,472
Notes:													
PDA-		Principal	divided arterial facility	Recoup	- Recoupment project								
DA-		Divided arterial facility New - New Project											
UA-		Undivided arterial facility * TXDOT Project, City participation											
DC-		Divided o	ollector facility										
UC4-		UndMideo	d collector facility - 4 lane										
UCS-		UndMideo	d collector with center turn	Hane									
UC2-		UndMideo	d collector facility - 2 lane										

North Roadway projects cost an estimated \$32,870,025 of which an amount of \$8,797,705 is attributed to the 10-year planning period. Therefore, about 26.8% of the total cost of these improvements is for the capacity to serve expected development during the planning period. In addition, an impact fee study cost of \$17,820 is included in the 10-year planning period for a total cost of \$8,815,525.

South Roadway projects cost an estimated \$52,875,448 of which an amount of \$13,987,917 is attributed to the 10-year planning period. Therefore, about 26.5% of the total cost of these improvements is for the capacity to serve expected development during the planning period. In addition, an impact fee study cost of \$52,180 is included in the 10-year planning period for a total cost of \$14,040,097.

Prior, current, and proposed use of impact fees for active and recently closed capital projects are listed in the table below. The numbers in parenthesis next to the project name provides a reference to the capital project listing on the study.

Table 4-4A – Use of North Impact Fees By Project and Fiscal Year									
Project/Fiscal Year	Use	of Impact Fees	Total Budget	% Budget = Impact fees					
	Pr	oject Closed Dui	ring FY 2018						
N/A									
		Currently Active	e Projects						
Johnson Road/Keller-									
Smithfield Roundabout (2,		462,500	925,000	50.0%					
5) [DR]									
Johnson Road		1,000,000	2,000,000	50.0%					
Reconstruction [RS to KS] (2)				30.070					
Total	\$	1,462,500	\$ 2,925,000	50.0%					
		On-Hold Pro	ojects						
N/A									
		Upcoming Pr	ojects*						
Bourland Road/Mt. Gilead		462,500	925,000	50.0%					
Roundabout [RS to KS] (4)		+02,300	525,000	30.070					
Mt. Gilead/Roanoke Rd		462,500	925,000	50.0%					
Roundabout (3)		.02,000		00.070					
Bourland Road									
Reconstruction [MTG to B]		1,000,000	2,000,000	50.0%					
(4a)		1 007 000	<b>.</b>	<b></b>					
Total	\$	1,925,000	\$ 3,850,000	50.0%					
Total All Projects	\$	3,387,500	\$ 6,775,000	50.0%					

Table 4-4B -	- Use of	South Impact F	ees By Proje	ect and Fiscal Ye	ear	
Project/Fiscal Year	Use	of Impact Fees	Total	Budget	% Budget = Ir fees	npact
	Pro	oject Closed Du	ring FY 2018			
N/A						
		Currently Active	e Projects			
Bear Creek / Keller- Smithfield Signal (12, 15, 16)		125,000	-	250,000		50.0%
Total	\$	125,000	\$	250,000	50.0%	
		On-Hold Pro	ojects			
N/A						
		Upcoming Pr	ojects*			
N/A						
Total All Projects	\$	125,000	\$	250,000	50.0%	

- 1 Project cost split between both North and South as it impacts both service areas.
- 2 Rufe Snow and Johnson Road intersection is located at the border of the North and South section, therefore costs are split between the two service areas.
- \* Upcoming Projects have been placed on the City's five-year Capital Improvements Plan. The projects have not been approved and no funds have been appropriated by City Council.

The table below provides a summary of City Council adopted capital projects which use impact fee funds. Included is a brief discussion of the variance between the Council adopted project budget and the capital project listing on study. The numbers in parenthesis next to the project name provides a reference to the capital project listing on the study.

Table 4-5 - Summary Schedule of Adopted Roadway Capital Project Revenues and Expenditures

Activity thru March 31

% Transferre

Line-Item Description	Project Budget		Activity thru March 31,		\$ Remaining		% Transferred /
Line item bescription	110,	cci buagei		2020	y Kemaning		Expenses
	John	son Road/Kell	er-Smith	ifield Roundabout	(2, 5) [DR]		
Impact Fees		462,500		462,500		-	100.0%
General Fund		231,250		231,250		-	100.0%
Street Maintenance Fund		231,250		231,250		-	100.0%
Total Revenues	\$	925,000	\$	925,000	\$	-	100.0%
% of Project = Impact Fees		50.0%		50.0%			
Engineering/Design		325,000		125,187	199	,813	38.5%
Construction		600,000		-	600	,000	0.0%
Right of Way		-		-		-	0.0%
Legal		_		-		-	0.0%
Total Expenditures	\$	925,000	\$	125,187	799	,813	13.5%

2,423,994

**Reason for Variance:** 

Line-Item Description	Pro	oject Budget	Activity thru September 30, 2019		\$ Remaining		% Transferred / Expenses
		Johnson Roa	d Recor	struction [RS to KS	[] (2)		
Impact Fees		1,000,000		1,000,000		-	100.0%
General Fund		1,000,000		1,000,000		-	100.0%
Total Revenues	\$	2,000,000	\$	2,000,000	\$	-	100.0%
% of Project = Impact Fees		50.0%		50.0%			
Engineering/Design		-		113,989	(113,	989)	0.0%
Construction		2,000,000		-	2,000,	000	0.0%
Right of Way		-		-		-	0.0%
Legal		-		-		-	0.0%
Total Expenditures	\$	2,000,000	\$	113,989	1,886,	011	5.7%

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocation \$ Reason for Variance:

2,423,994

incusori for variance.

Bear Creek / Keller-Smithfield Signal (12, 15, 16)									
Impact Fees		125,000	125,000	-	100.0%				
General Fund		125,000	125,000	-	100.0%				
Total Revenues	\$	250,000 \$	250,000 \$	-	100.0%				
% of Project = Impact Fees		50.0%	50.0%						
Engineering/Design		-	-	-	0.0%				
Construction		250,000	31,673	218,327	12.7%				
Right of Way		· -	· -	-	0.0%				
Legal		-	-	-	0.0%				
Total Expenditures	\$	250,000 \$	31,673	218,327	12.7%				

Impact Fee CIP Cost Allocation \$

2,423,994

**Reason for Variance:** 

## **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is staff's opinion that the collection of impact fee service units over the 52-month period from June 2, 2015 thru March 31, 2020 is at an acceptable level and the capital improvements program is proceeding in a reasonable manner. Based on the percentage of collection being well below the 100% assessed level, we feel the chance of over collecting is improbable. The capital improvements program is proceeding in a reasonable manner with considerable capacity needs in each category during the planning period being supplied by projects already completed.